

REPRESENTATIONS AND METHODS FOR ENABLING DESIGN VARIETY

by

Bart D. Frischknecht

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Science  
(Mechanical Engineering)  
in the University of Michigan  
2006

Masters Committee:

Professor Panos Y. Papalambros, Chair  
Professor S. Jack Hu

---

© Bart D. Frischknecht  
All rights reserved  
2006

## Acknowledgements

This thesis has emerged out of several false starts, or design iterations. I thank professor Papalambros for his patience, encouragement, suggestions, and continued support throughout this effort. I also thank professor Jack Hu for serving as the second member of my thesis committee. A special thanks is due the members of the Translucence Team: Tanna Borrell, Yu-Chun Lin, Nattavut Napaporn, Sarun Virojanakuth from the Analytical Product Design course Fall 2005 for sharing their experience and their models for the design example in Chapter 3. Thanks to my own team members: Cristina Bozintan, Yung Keong Teo, and Olutosin Yusuf from Analytical Product Design Fall 2004 for their collaboration in developing the Awarator concept used as an example in Chapter 6. I want to acknowledge the special support of my beautiful wife Carol and children Nathan, Isabella, and Lydia. Carol's continued confidence has motivated me continue striving for improvement in my work. Nathan and Ella's patience while their daddy stays at school to work on a paper (a "huge one" as they say) is greatly appreciated. Finally, this research was made possible through generous funding provided by a University of Michigan College of Engineering Benton Fellowship, Johnson Controls, Inc., and the Automotive Research Center.

## Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	ii
List of Figures.....	v
List of Tables.....	vii
Abstract.....	viii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Design as an Abstraction.....	1
1.2 Changes in Product Development.....	3
1.3 Summary of Thesis.....	5
1.3.1 Design Variety.....	6
1.3.2 Design Representations.....	6
1.3.3 Design Variety Formulations.....	7
1.3.4 Business and Manufacturing Approaches to Design Variety.....	7
1.3.5 A Unified Modeling Approach.....	7
1.3.6 Product Development Process Considerations.....	7
1.3.7 Conclusions.....	8
CHAPTER 2 DESIGN VARIETY CLASSIFICATION.....	9
2.1 Definition of Design Variety.....	11
2.2 Product Variety Drivers.....	15
2.3 Business Strategy and Design Variety.....	17
2.4 Summary.....	21
CHAPTER 3 DESIGN REPRESENTATIONS.....	22
3.1 Design Description Types.....	23
3.1.1 Function Representations.....	24
3.1.2 Dependence Models.....	26
3.1.3 CAD Library/Catalog Sets.....	29
3.1.4 Concept Sets.....	30
3.2 Matrix Representations.....	33
3.2.1 Product Development and Matrix Representations.....	47
3.2.2 Design Example.....	49
3.2.3 Benefits and Challenges of Matrix Representations.....	62
3.3 Summary.....	66
CHAPTER 4 DESIGN VARIETY FORMULATIONS.....	69
4.1 Product Characteristics and Design Variety.....	69
4.2 Product Platforms.....	74
4.2.1 Relaxed Commonality Formulations.....	77
4.2.2 Combinatorial Formulations.....	81
4.2.3 Product Portfolio Optimization.....	86
4.3 Other Design Approaches.....	92
4.3.1 Commonality Indices.....	94

4.3.2 Modularity.....	97
4.3.3 Flexibility/Upgradeability.....	103
4.3.4 Variety Analysis.....	107
4.4 Summary.....	113
CHAPTER 5 BUSINESSS AND MANUFACTURING APPROACHES TO DESIGN	
VARIETY.....	117
5.1 Production Complexity and Design Variety.....	118
5.2 Marketing and Design Variety.....	122
5.3 Cost and Design Variety.....	124
5.3.1 Abstract Modeling.....	127
5.3.2 Direct Modeling.....	131
5.4 Summary.....	136
CHAPTER 6 A UNIFIED MODELING APPROACH.....	140
6.1 Framework Definition.....	141
6.1.1 Variable Classification.....	141
6.1.2 Functional Classification.....	147
6.2 Example.....	147
6.3 Summary.....	158
CHAPTER 7 PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT PROCESS CONSIDERATIONS.....	160
7.1 Product Development Structure at the Enterprise Level.....	160
7.2 Product Development for Design Variety.....	168
7.3 Summary.....	174
CHAPTER 8 CONCLUSIONS.....	175
8.1 Summary.....	175
8.2 Open Questions and Research Directions.....	179
8.2.1 How can marketing, manufacturing, and engineering models be incorporated into the dependence design representation of Chapter 5 and the process model of Chapter 6?.....	179
8.2.2 How can design dependence models provide meaningful insights into the design variety problem?.....	181
8.2.3 How can the proposed dependence design formulation be used as a synthesis technique and not as an analysis technique only?.....	189
References	191

## List of Figures

Figure 3-1: Design representations organized by design set class.....	24
Figure 3-2: Hypergraph showing three functions that share the same variable.....	35
Figure 3-3: The relationship matrix relates customer requirements with engineering characteristics.....	38
Figure 3-4: House of quality tables showing the relationship matrix as the wall and the correlation matrix as the roof.....	38
Figure 3-5: Correlation matrix is a symmetric adjacency matrix .....	39
Figure 3-6: Dependence model representation of mapping between different domains during the design process.....	40
Figure 3-7: Six-phase product development process .....	47
Figure 3-8: The product development process showing the timeframe for various matrix representations .....	48
Figure 3-9: RM and correlation matrix for water treatment project .....	50
Figure 3-10: Combined RM and correlation matrix .....	51
Figure 3-11: Sketch of two-bucket candle filter concept.....	53
Figure 3-12: Qualitative DM based on two-bucket ceramic filter concept .....	54
Figure 3-13: DSM-product matrix representation for two-bucket ceramic filter concept .....	55
Figure 3-14: FDT for the two-bucket ceramic filter concept.....	56
Figure 3-15: DSM-problem representation for two-bucket ceramic filter concept .....	58
Figure 3-16: Sequenced DSM-problem representation of two-bucket ceramic filter concept .....	59
Figure 3-17: Unsorted DSM-process matrix showing product development tasks for water treatment design project.....	60
Figure 3-18: Sorted DSM-process matrix showing product development tasks for water treatment project .....	60
Figure 4-1: Design flow for product platform optimization .....	75
Figure 4-2: Product platform optimization with platform chosen a priori.....	76
Figure 6-1: A dependence model showing interface functions and variables. Each variable ( $v_1$ - $v_4$ ) is assumed to represent a subset (component).....	144
Figure 6-2: Dependence model with a component variable .....	144
Figure 6-3: Dependence model showing the $c_{1A}$ member of the component variable set $c_1$ .....	145
Figure 6-4: Dependence model showing the $c_{1B}$ member of the component variable set $c_1$ .....	145
Figure 6-5: Photograph of Awarator prototype .....	148
Figure 6-7: Sketch of the two Awarator configurations with dimensions labeled .....	149
Figure 6-8: Top-level design dependence representation for the Awarator .....	154
Figure 6-9: Awarator design dependence representation showing $GT_A$ .....	155

Figure 6-10: Awarator design dependence representation showing $GT_B$ .....	156
Figure 6-11: Awarator dependence representation partitioned into three independent subproblems .....	158
Figure 7-1: Design interaction network (DIN) showing interactions during the product development process .....	163
Figure 7-2: Adjacency matrix representation of the design interaction network.....	164
Figure 7-3: Deliverable incidence matrix .....	165
Figure 7-4: Modified adjacency matrix .....	167
Figure 7-5: Process flow in product variety design .....	168
Figure 7-6: Example of product variety evolution through time and through changing design structure .....	169
Figure 7-7: Sequence of product development effort to deliver product variety.....	171
Figure 7-8: Product deliverable maturity over the development cycle.....	172
Figure 8-1: Example of a general design representation using a dependence model ....	180
Figure 8-2: Interdependencies between Marketing, Engineering, and Manufacturing from Krishnan and Ulrich (Krishnan, Ulrich 2001) .....	187
Figure 8-3: Product development interdependencies partitioned to minimize interaction from Krishnan and Ulrich (Krishnan, Ulrich 2001).....	188

## List of Tables

Table 2-1: Potential variety drivers.....	16
Table 2-2: <b>Mass customization strategy and structural variety</b> .....	19
Table 3-1: Parametric design representations .....	31
Table 3-2: Matrix representation classification .....	46
Table 3-3: Elements of the matrix representations .....	47
Table 3-4: Design representations and structural variety .....	67
Table 4-1: Product characteristics and structural variety.....	71
Table 4-2: Summary of design variety formulations by category .....	74
Table 4-3: Summary of product platform optimization formulations .....	77
Table 4-4: Summary of non-optimization design variety approaches .....	94
Table 4-5: Modularity and structural variety .....	99
Table 4-6: Summary of design variety evaluation literature .....	115
Table 5-1: Production complexity and design variety .....	119
Table 5-2: Manufacturing process and design variety .....	120
Table 5-3: Outline of cost modeling techniques for design variety.....	127
Table 5-4: Summary of cost modeling techniques for design variety .....	138
Table 6-1: Members of the component variable set shown in table format.....	146
Table 6-2: Member variables and functions for gross topology variable .....	153



## Abstract

A design is defined in this thesis as an abstraction of a physical artifact that can be represented as a set  $D$ , composed of design descriptors and relations. A single member of set  $D$  is called a design variant. Design variety is the existence of multiple design variants in a single design. A product is a physical instantiation of a design variant that is actually placed in the market. Product variety is when multiple design variants from a single design are actually placed in the market.

A firm's strategy leads to two manifestations of product variety: temporal variety and coordinated variety. Temporal variety refers to the introduction of a modification to an existing design for a future product generation. Coordinated variety refers to introducing multiple product variants into the market simultaneously or in a predefined sequence.

Firms rely on an ability to manage the underlying design variety when they make business decisions about the products they will introduce in the market. This thesis reviews the concept of design variety and presents a new way for representing design variety during product development.

Four prevalent design representations have been identified. These representations (Function, Dependence, Catalog, and Concept) are discussed in terms of their ability to represent and manipulate design variety. The capability of dependence models to use incomplete information and represent complex relationships is highlighted. Four