

Topology Considerations in Hybrid Electric Vehicle Powertrain Architecture Design

by

Alparslan Emrah Bayrak

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
(Mechanical Engineering)
in The University of Michigan
2015

Doctoral Committee:

Professor Panos Y. Papalambros, Chair
Research Engineer Kukhyun Ahn, Ford Motor Company
Professor James S. Freudenberg
Group Manager Madhu Raghavan, General Motors Corp.
Professor Jeffrey L. Stein

© Alparslan Emrah Bayrak 2015

All Rights Reserved

For all the people

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to gratefully acknowledge General Motors Corp. and the Automotive Research Center, a US Army Center of Excellence at the University of Michigan for funding my research activities in the Optimal Design (ODE) Lab.

I would like to give my special thanks to Prof. Panos Papalambros for his support and guidance throughout my research in the ODE Lab. In the college I learned that science was not just generating new knowledge but also communicating it. Panos helped me a lot to develop many skills to do that more effectively by challenging and correcting me all the time. There is still so much to learn.

The other person whom I owe so much is Prof. Gullu Kiziltas Sendur. She was the one who taught me the first steps to moving in the path of academia. Her endless support was an important factor for me to come to the University of Michigan. I can never repay her.

I would also like to thank Dr. Max Yi Ren and Dr. Namwoo Kang for their help at certain parts of the dissertation. Without their help, this dissertation would take much longer to finalize than it was supposed to. Additionally, it was a privilege to be a part of the ODE family. The friendship and all the fun we had together in the ODE Lab were so valuable to me.

Finally, I would like to thank my family. Looking back to all the difficulties we overcame together, receiving a PhD degree from the University of Michigan was beyond my imagination. Their unconditional love, which I believe is the most precious feeling in the world, was what kept me going.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiii
ABSTRACT	xiv
CHAPTER	
I. Introduction	1
1.1 Problem Definition	2
1.1.1 Classes of Design Problems	2
1.1.2 Hybrid Electric Vehicle Architecture	5
1.1.3 HEV Powertrain Control	9
1.2 Related Work	11
1.2.1 Structural Design Synthesis	12
1.2.2 Electrical Circuit Design	14
1.2.3 Chemical Structure Design	14
1.2.4 Automotive Powertrain Configuration Design	15
1.3 Summary	16
1.4 Dissertation Contributions	17
1.5 Dissertation Overview	18
II. Literature Review	19
2.1 Automotive Powertrain Architecture Design	19
2.1.1 Automatic Transmission Design for Gasoline Vehicles	20
2.1.2 Hybrid Architecture Design	23
2.2 System Architecture Design Using Evolutionary Algorithms	26

2.3	Summary	30
III. Hybrid Electric Vehicle Architecture Representation		31
3.1	Means of Architecture Representation	31
3.1.1	Stick Diagram	32
3.1.2	Lever Analogy	33
3.1.3	Bond Graphs	35
3.1.4	System of Equations	36
3.2	Representation and Generation of Architectures	40
3.2.1	Graph Enumeration	44
3.2.2	Junction Type and Causality Assignment	48
3.2.3	Bond Weight Assignment	51
3.2.4	Equation Generation	53
3.3	Results	55
3.4	Summary	58
IV. Hybrid Electric Vehicle Architecture Design Optimization		60
4.1	General Problem Formulation	60
4.2	Solution Strategies	62
4.2.1	Combined Design and Control Problem	63
4.2.2	HEV Architecture and Sizing Problem	65
4.3	Summary	72
V. Single-mode Hybrid Electric Vehicle Architecture Design with Fixed Sizing		73
5.1	Problem Formulation	74
5.2	Vehicle Model	75
5.3	Supervisory Control	78
5.3.1	Dynamic Programming	80
5.3.2	Pontryagin's Minimum Principle	83
5.3.3	Equivalent Consumption Minimization Strategy	84
5.4	Design Selection	87
5.4.1	Illustration Case Study 1	88
5.4.2	Illustration Case Study 2	92
5.4.3	Illustration Case Study 3	96
5.4.4	Discussion	101
5.5	Summary	102
VI. Multi-mode Hybrid Electric Vehicle Architecture Design with Fixed Sizing		104
6.1	Problem Formulation	105

6.2	Architecture Complexity	106
6.3	Architecture Search	112
6.3.1	Search Algorithm	112
6.4	Case Study Illustration Results	114
6.5	Case Study 1	117
6.6	Case Study 2	120
6.7	Case Study 3	122
6.8	Summary	125
VII. Simultaneous Architecture and Sizing Design		126
7.1	Problem Formulation	127
7.2	Decomposition-Based Solution Strategy	129
7.2.1	Single-mode HEV Architecture Design Problem	132
7.2.2	Multi-mode HEV Architecture Design Problem	134
7.3	Results	136
7.3.1	Single-mode 1-PG Architecture Design	137
7.3.2	Single-mode 2-PG Architecture Design	142
7.3.3	Multi-mode 1-PG Architecture Design	144
7.3.4	Multi-mode 2-PG Architecture Design	145
7.3.5	Discussion	146
7.4	Summary	148
VIII. Conclusions		150
8.1	Summary	150
8.2	Contributions	152
8.3	Limitations and Future Work	153
APPENDICES		156
BIBLIOGRAPHY		173

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		
1.1	Illustration of design terminology	3
1.2	Topology of an abstract system to achieve a desired output using a catalog shown on Figure 1.1	3
1.3	Two DC/DC converters of different architectures	4
1.4	Series Hybrid Configuration	7
1.5	Parallel Hybrid Architecture	8
1.6	Power-Split Hybrid Architecture	9
1.7	Cross section representation of a PG set	10
2.1	An example 2-PG system and its conventional and canonical representations given by <i>Chatterjee and Tsai (1996)</i>	21
2.2	An example circuit and its bond graph representation given by <i>Fan et al. (2001)</i>	29
3.1	Stick diagram representation of two architectures	33
3.2	Lever analogy to analyze a PG set	34
3.3	Architecture examples	35
3.4	Bond graph representation of Toyota Prius architecture with ring to sun gear ratio of ρ ignoring the gear inertias and losses	37
3.5	Two modes of the architecture shown on Figure 3.3(a). CL1 is only used to obtain a neutral gear instead of a new mode.	41

3.6	Modified bond graph representation of Toyota Prius architecture . . .	42
3.7	Violation of simple graph assumption	44
3.8	A junction with 5 bonds is equivalently replaced by 3 junctions with 3 bonds each	45
3.9	Two replicates generated from the enumeration process. Both graphs result in the same equation sets after assigning the junction type and bond weights	48
3.10	All possible six combinations for the bond weight assignment around a 0 junction	52
3.11	Bond weight scaling for a 0 to 0 junction connection	52
3.12	Four samples among all possible 2-PG driving modes	56
3.13	Three modes of the Chevrolet Volt generated by the process	57
3.14	All modes of the dual-mode architecture by <i>Ai and Anderson</i> (2005)	57
3.15	All modes of the dual-mode architecture by <i>Schmidt</i> (1999a)	58
3.16	2-PG modes equivalent to the Toyota Prius and the Chevrolet Volt (with extra final drive)	58
4.1	Strategies for combined plant (design) and controller optimization	64
4.2	Decomposition of combined single-mode architecture and gear ratio design	69
4.3	Decomposition of combined multi-mode architecture and gear ratio design	70
5.1	All engine and MG operating points satisfying the demand from the drive cycle form a Pareto surface on the space of \dot{m}_f and P_{batt}	80
5.2	Representation of a fictitious control problem with an analogy to the shortest path problem starting with state s_0^0 and ending with s_N^0	82
5.3	One iteration of the secant method to find the conversion factor corresponding to the target <i>SOC</i>	86

5.4	Simulation results for all 1-PG modes with $\rho = 2.6$ and $FR = 3.95$ using the vehicle specifications given in Table 5.1	89
5.5	Optimal configuration obtained for the vehicle specifications given in Table 5.1 by enumerating all 1-PG designs	90
5.6	Simulation results for all 2-PG modes with $\boldsymbol{\rho} = [2.6; 2.6]$ and $FR = 3.95$ using the vehicle specifications given in Table 5.1	91
5.7	Top three configurations obtained for the vehicle specifications given in Table 5.1 by enumerating all 2-PG designs	92
5.8	Simulation results for all 1-PG modes with $\rho = 2.24$ and $FR = 2.16$ using the vehicle specifications given in Table 5.4	94
5.9	Top three configurations obtained for the vehicle specifications given in Table 5.4 by enumerating all 1-PG designs	95
5.10	Simulation results for all 2-PG modes with $\boldsymbol{\rho} = [2.24; 2.24]$ and $FR = 2.16$ using the vehicle specifications given in Table 5.4	96
5.11	Top three configurations obtained for the vehicle specifications given in Table 5.4 by enumerating all 2-PG designs	97
5.12	Simulation results for all 1-PG modes with $\rho = 2$ and $FR = 5$ using the vehicle specifications given in Table 5.7	98
5.13	Simulation results for all 2-PG modes with $\boldsymbol{\rho} = [2; 2]$ and $FR = 5$ using the vehicle specifications given in Table 5.7	99
5.14	Top three configurations obtained for the vehicle specifications given in Table 5.7 by enumerating all 2-PG designs	100
5.15	Effects of the parameters on the simulation results obtained for the architecture in Figure 5.14(a), where “1” represents the original values $\rho_1 = 2$, $\rho_2 = 2$ and $FR = 5$	102
6.1	Example of a modified bond graph representation and its connectivity table	107
6.2	Two sample connectivity tables and the corresponding clutching solution indicated by red boxes	109
6.3	Multiple clutching solutions exist when MG1 and MG2 are identical	110

6.4	Connectivity tables for the example modes in Figure 6.3. The minimum number of clutches required is 3, when the two MGs are identical.	110
6.5	Three sample connectivity tables and the corresponding clutching solution indicated by red boxes	111
6.6	Flowchart of the dual-mode architecture design process	113
6.7	Iterations of the search algorithm for a fictitious problem starting with $\mathbb{M}_0 = \{8, 1\}$ and converging to $\mathbb{M}_4 = \{3, 4\}$ in four iterations. Minimum of each iteration is denoted by a square	115
6.8	Initial modes for 1-PG architecture design studies	116
6.9	Initial modes for 2-PG architecture design studies	117
6.10	Best 1-PG architecture found for Case Study 1	118
6.11	Best 2-PG architecture found for Case Study 1	119
6.12	Best 1-PG architecture found for Case Study 2	121
6.13	Best 2-PG architecture found for Case Study 2	123
6.14	Best 2-PG architecture found for Case Study 3	124
7.1	Projection of the 4D feasible region to 2D planes	130
7.2	Decomposition of combined single-mode architecture and gear ratio design	132
7.3	Decomposition of combined multi-mode architecture and gear ratio design	134
7.4	Optimal 1-PG architecture obtained by ATC. This is the architecture used in the Toyota Prius	138
7.5	Optimal 2-PG architecture obtained by ATC	143
7.6	Optimal dual-mode 1-PG architecture obtained by ATC	145
7.7	Optimal dual-mode 2-PG architecture obtained by ATC	146

LIST OF TABLES

Table

1.1	Existing architectures from literature	8
3.1	List of Bond Graph simplifications	43
3.2	Number of junctions needed for different types of modes	46
5.1	Vehicle specifications used for Case Study 1	88
5.2	Simulation results for the best 1-PG architecture designed for Case Study 1	90
5.3	Simulation results for the top three 2-PG architectures designed for Case Study 1	91
5.4	Vehicle specifications used for Case Study 2	93
5.5	Simulation results for the top three 1-PG architectures designed for Case Study 2	94
5.6	Simulation results for the top three 2-PG architectures designed for Case Study 2	95
5.7	Vehicle specifications used for Case Study 3	97
5.8	Simulation results for the two feasible 1-PG architectures designed for Case Study 3	98
5.9	Simulation results for the top three 2-PG architectures designed for Case Study 3	100
6.1	Architecture specifications for all three case studies	115

6.2	Vehicle specifications used for Case Study 1	117
6.3	Simulation results of the selected designs for Case Study 1	120
6.4	Vehicle specifications used for Case Study 2	120
6.5	Simulation results of the selected designs for Case Study 2	122
6.6	Vehicle specifications used for Case Study 3	122
6.7	Simulation results of the selected designs for Case Study 3	123
7.1	Vehicle specifications used for the case studies	137
7.2	Results for for single-mode 1-PG architecture design by optimizing each configuration separately	138
7.3	ATC results for single-mode 1-PG architecture design using SQP for the system level problem	139
7.4	ATC results for single-mode 1-PG architecture design using interior point method for the system level problem	140
7.5	ATC results for single-mode 1-PG architecture design using GA with 5 generations for the system level problem	141
7.6	ATC results for single-mode 2-PG architecture design using GA with 5 generations for the system level problem	143
7.7	ATC results for single-mode 2-PG architecture design using GA with 10 generations for the system level problem	144
7.8	ATC results for dual-mode 1-PG architecture design using GA with 5 generations for the system level problem	145
7.9	ATC results for dual-mode 2-PG architecture design using GA with 5 generations for the system level problem	146

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix

A. 1-PG Hybrid and Pure Electric Modes 157

B. Selected 2-PG Hybrid and Pure Electric Modes 165

ABSTRACT

Topology Considerations in Hybrid Electric Vehicle Powertrain Architecture Design

by

Alparslan Emrah Bayrak

Chair: Panos Y. Papalambros

Optimal system architecture (topology or configuration) design has been a challenging design problem because of its combinatorial nature. Parametric optimization studies make design decisions assuming a given architecture but there has been no general methodology that addresses design decisions on the system architecture itself. The electrification of vehicles with the introduction of mechatronic devices such as motors and generators to vehicle powertrains has drawn renewed attention to the automotive powertrain architecture optimization problem. Hybrid Electric Vehicle (HEV) powertrains allow various architecture alternatives created by connecting the internal combustion engine, motor/generators and the output shaft in different ways through planetary gear systems. Addition of clutches to HEV powertrains allows changing the connection arrangement (configuration) among the powertrain components during the vehicle operation. Architectures with this capability are referred to as multi-mode architectures while architectures with fixed configurations are referred to as single-mode architectures. Design decisions made on both the powertrain's component sizes and its configuration have significant impact on the fuel economy and vehicle performance. System architecture optimization requires designing the configuration and sizing si-

multaneously. Additionally, evaluation of an HEV architecture design depends on a power management (control) strategy that distributes the power demand to the engine and motor/generators. Including this control problem increases the complexity of the HEV architecture design problem. Methodologies developed specifically for HEV powertrain architecture design work only when the problem size is significantly reduced by eliminating many architecture design candidates or target only a small portion of the design space of architecture alternatives.

This dissertation focuses on a general methodology to make design decisions on HEV powertrain architecture and component sizes. The representation of the architecture design problem is critical to solving this problem. A new general representation framework capable of describing all architecture alternatives is introduced. Using the representation, all feasible configurations are generated to create a new design space of feasible configurations only. These configurations are used to create single- and multi-mode HEV architectures. The architecture design alternatives are evaluated based on fuel economy, vehicle performance and complexity. Three types of design problems are formulated: (i) single-mode architecture design for given component sizes (ii) multi-mode architecture design for given component sizes (iii) architecture design combining the configuration and sizing. Solution strategies for all three types of design problems are developed. The high complexity of the resulting optimization problem does not allow us to claim true optimality rigorously; therefore, the terms “promising” or “near-optimal” are more accurate in characterizing the results of the optimization studies. Case study results show that different architectures must be designed for different applications. The case studies designing architectures for some available vehicles from the market find the architectures already implemented in these vehicles under some design constraints. Alternative architectures that improve these designs under different design constraints are also demonstrated. Architectures for a new application that is not available in the market are also designed.